CNF-1004

EXHIBIT #
WUSKWATIM GENERATION
& TRANSMISSION PROJECT

CLEAN ENVIRONMENT COMMISSION

Wuskwatim Generating Project

Two Energy Paths

Timothy J. Rudnicki, Esq.

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Feasibility Linchpin

- · Proponents
 - US export market
- · Justification Need For And Alternative To
 - Business as usual
 - · Hydro displace fossil fuel
- · Ignores a new reality

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Bridge the Cross Border Information Gaps

- Midwest
- · Individual states
 - Minnesota
 - · No new information from proponents
 - · One of largest customers (Xcel Energy)
 - · Abundant wind energy source
 - Local
 - Environmentally benign
 - Potential exporter

Business as Usual Scenario

- · Portfolio = standard energy sources
 - Natural gas
 - Existing coal plants
 - · Up to 71% capacity factor
 - · Fossil fuels dominate

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Information Sources Include

- · Repowering the Midwest
 - Environmental Law & Policy Center
 - · Chicago, Illinois
 - · Web: www.elpc.org
 - References (100+) from American Council for an Energy Efficient Economy to Tim Woolf

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

. . . Business as Usual.

- · More fossil fuels, more carbon dioxide
 - 2000
 - 557 million tons
 - -2020
 - 726 million tons
 - Hydro?
 - · Mature v. new, local renewables
 - · Laws & regulations to protect rivers
 - No emission allowances/ CO2 cap & trade

. . . Business as Usual

- · What about more efficient use of electricity?
 - Potential efficiency savings in Midwest export
 - · 290 one billion kWh
 - 100 coal plants
 - » 500 MW each
 - Wind as an option, not fossil fuel
 - · Hydro could displace local renewable

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Alternative Energy Scenario -Repowering (or something similar)

- · Social, Environmental & Economic Benefits
 - Efficiency & Local renewable energy sources
 - · 10 Midwest states, including Minnesota
 - Increase annual economic output
 » 2010 at \$11 billion (US)

 - » 2020 at \$19.4 billion (US)
 - Net job growth
 - » 2010 at 120,000
 - » 2020 at 209,000

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

... Repower

- Energy efficiency
- New clean renewables (wind, biomass, solar, PV)
- · Efficient Nat Gas, e.g., CHP
- · Retire select coal plants
- Apply sustainable development strategies
- Minnesota - Wind

 - 75,000 MW
 8 times demand
 - "...provide Minnesotans with adequate electricity from in-state renewable energy sources for the long term and export to adjacent states."
 - Inventory: social, environmental & economic benefits & burdens energy sources for electricity sold in Minnesota

-377	

Continued move toward local renewables

- · See, e.g., Certificate of Need process
 - Refurbish or build
 - Evaluate
 - Accuracy of demand
 - Relationship to energy report
 - Efficiency
 - Conservation improvement
 - Renewables
 - Citing & constructing in Minnesota

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Renewable Energy Objective

- Hydro
 - Less than 60 MW
 - Externalized costs
 - Social
 - Environmental
 - Benefits = heightened scrutiny

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Legal Matter Before Minnesota Court of Appeals

- \$1 billion (US) power purchase agreement between MH and Xcel Energy/ August 2002
- Issues
 - Energy source selection and approval process

Minnesota - New Awareness about Energy Sources

- · Minnesota Energy Planning Report 2001
 - Many issues raised about hydro
 - Description of effects from hydro
- Assessment of local renewable energy sources
 - Efficiency
 - Local renewables

MB CEC/ March 16, 2004

Kyoto

- · Manitoba's leadership role
- · Efforts, effective
 - Province
 - Other markets
- · Benefits and risks from business as usual
- · Far reaching implications
 - MB CEC setting precedent for future reviews
 - Environmental & energy future for North Am
 - Current and future generations